

4-1-1980

Bibliography to Legal Periodicals Dealing with Historic Preservation and Aesthetic Regulation

North Carolina Central Law Review

Follow this and additional works at: <https://archives.law.nccu.edu/ncclr>

Recommended Citation

North Carolina Central Law Review (1980) "Bibliography to Legal Periodicals Dealing with Historic Preservation and Aesthetic Regulation," *North Carolina Central Law Review*: Vol. 11 : No. 2 , Article 15.
Available at: <https://archives.law.nccu.edu/ncclr/vol11/iss2/15>

This Index is brought to you for free and open access by History and Scholarship Digital Archives. It has been accepted for inclusion in North Carolina Central Law Review by an authorized editor of History and Scholarship Digital Archives. For more information, please contact jbeeker@nccu.edu.

BIBLIOGRAPHY TO LEGAL PERIODICALS DEALING WITH HISTORIC PRESERVATION AND AESTHETIC REGULATION*

1975

- Baker, *Development Rights Transfer and Landmarks Preservation—Providing a Sense of Orientation*, 9 URB. L. ANN. 131 (1975).
- Costonis, *Do Buildings Have Rights?*, 4 STUDENT LAW. 14 (Dec. 1975).
- Crabtree, *The Redwoods: To Preserve and Protect*, 5 ENV'T L. 283 (1975).
- Dolbeare, *Mandatory Dedication of Public Sites as a Condition in the Subdivision Process in Virginia*, 9 U. RICH. L. REV. 435 (1975).
- Ellingson, *Differential Assessment and Local Governmental Controls to Preserve Agricultural Lands*, 20 S.D.L. REV. 548 (1975).
- Peterson, *Flexibility in Rezonings and Related Governmental Land Use Decisions*, 36 OHIO ST. L.J. 499 (1975).
- Rose, *The Transfer of Development Rights: A Preview of an Evolving Concept*, 3 REAL EST. L.J. 330 (1975).
- Comment, *Development Rights Transfer in Livermore: A Planning Strategy to Conserve Open Space*, 5 GOLDEN GATE L. REV. 191 (1975).
- Comment, *Grand Central Station—Landmark at the End of the Line, or End of the Line for Landmarks?—New York City's Landmark Law in the Courts*, 37 U. PITT. L. REV. 81 (1975).
- Comment, *The Legal History of Zoning for Aesthetic Purposes*, 8 IND. L. REV. 1028 (1975).
- Comment, *The National Historic Preservation Act: Ten Years Later*, 7 SW. U.L. REV. 688 (1975).
- Comment, *National Historic Preservation Policy: A Review*, 49 TEMP. L.Q. 119 (1975).

* F. Warren Hughes & G. Keith Whited.

This chronological index represents a continuing effort to update the *Bibliography to Legal Periodicals Dealing with Historic Preservation and Aesthetic Regulation*, as it was first published in 12 WAKE FOREST L. REV. 275 (1976).

- Comment, *Pennsylvania's Self-Executing Environmental Amendment: A View of the Battle of Gettysburg*, 9 URB. L. ANN. 245 (1975).
- Comment, *Planning and Aesthetic Zoning—Getting More Out of What We've Got*, 52 J. URB. L. 1033 (1975).
- Note, *Architecture, Aesthetic Zoning, and the First Amendment*, 28 STAN. L. REV. 179 (1975).
- Note, *Environmental Control—Land Use—Historical Preservation*, 1975 WIS. L. REV. 260.
- Note, *Landmark Preservation: The Problem of the Single Landmark—Lutheran Church in America v. City of New York*, 25 DEPAUL L. REV. 160 (1975).
- Note, *The Unconstitutionality of Transferable Development Rights*, 84 YALE L.J. 1101 (1975).
- Note, *Urban Landmarks: Preserving Our Cities' Aesthetic and Cultural Resources*, 39 ALB. L. REV. 521 (1975).
- Note, *Use of Zoning Restrictions to Restrain Property Owners from Altering or Destroying Historic Landmarks*, 1975 DUKE L.J. 999.
- Seminar, *Conservation and Preservation Restriction Seminar*, 16 N.H.B.J. 309 (1975).

1976

- Beck, *North Dakota's Historic Preservation Law*, 53 N.D.L. REV. 177 (1976).
- Beckwith, *Developments in the Law of Historic Preservation and a Reflection on Liberty*, 12 WAKE FOREST L. REV. 93 (1976).
- Biddle, *Historic Preservation: The Citizens' Quiet Revolution*, 8 CONN. L. REV. 202 (1976).
- Boasberg, *Historic Preservation: Suggested Directions for Federal Legislation*, 12 WAKE FOREST L. REV. 75 (1976).
- Brenneman, *Historic Preservation Restrictions: A Sampling of State Statutes*, 8 CONN. L. REV. 231 (1976).
- Daniell, *Save Temple Bar*, 62 A.B.A.J. 1594 (1976).
- Fishman & Metzger, *Protecting America's Cultural and Historic Patrimony*, 4 SYRACUSE J. INT'L. L. & COMM. 57 (1976).
- Fowler, *Federal Historic Preservation Law: National Historic Preservation Act, Executive Order 11593, and Other Recent Developments in Federal Law*, 12 WAKE FOREST L. REV. 31 (1976).
- Futrell, *Parks to the People: New Directions for the National Park System*, 25 EMORY L.J. 255 (1976).

- Galbreath, *Conservation: The New Word for Old Neighborhoods*, 8 CONN. L. REV. 312 (1976).
- Gertsell, *Needed: A Landmark Decision—Takings, Landmark Preservation, and Social Cost*, 8 URB. L. 213 (1976).
- Gold, *The Welfare Economics of Historic Preservation*, 8 CONN. L. REV. 348 (1976).
- Hansen, *Historic and Landmark Preservation—A Creative Trusteeship*, 1976 PLAN., ZONING, & EMINENT DOM. INST. 69 (1976).
- Johnson, *The Role of Public Attitude and Involvement in the Preservation Movement*, 8 CONN. L. REV. 370 (1976).
- Lefcoe, *How Taxes Affect Urban Design—And How to Make Them Do a Better Job of It*, 4 REAL EST. L.J. 244 (1976).
- Nieć, *Legislative Models of Protection of Cultural Property*, 27 HASTINGS L.J. 1089 (1976).
- Palacios & Johnson, *An Overview of Archaeology and the Law: Seventy Years of Unexplored Protection for Prehistoric Resources*, 51 NOTRE DAME LAW. 706 (1976).
- Reynolds, *Aqua Caliente Revisited: Recent Developments as to Zoning of Indian Reservations*, 4 AM. INDIAN L. REV. 249 (1976).
- Robinson, *Urban Environmental Law: Emergent Citizens' Rights for the Aesthetic, the Spiritual, and the Spacious*, 4 FORDHAM URB. L.J. 467 (1976).
- Ross, *Practical Aspects of Historic Preservation in North Carolina*, 12 WAKE FOREST L. REV. 9 (1976).
- Sax, *Helpless Giants: The National Parks and the Regulation of Private Lands*, 75 MICH. L. REV. 239 (1976).
- Shull, *How to Use the Tax System to Promote Historic Preservation*, 4 REAL EST. L.J. 398 (1976).
- Shull, *The Use of Tax Incentives for Historic Preservation*, 8 CONN. L. REV. 334 (1976).
- Tondro, *An Historic Preservation Approach to Municipal Rehabilitation of Older Neighborhoods*, 8 CONN. L. REV. 248 (1976).
- Vivian, *Archeology, Mining and the Law*, 22 ROCKY MTN. MIN. L. INST. 787 (1976).
- Wiedl, *Historic District Ordinances*, 8 CONN. L. REV. 209 (1976).
- Bibliography, *Bibliography to Legal Periodicals Dealing with Historic Preservation and Aesthetic Regulation*, 12 WAKE FOREST L. REV. 275 (1976).
- Comment, *Aesthetic Zoning*, 11 URB. L. ANN. 295 (1976).
- Comment, *Bureau of Land Management Primitive Areas—Are They Counterfeit Wilderness?*, 16 NAT. RESOURCES J. 621 (1976).

- Comment, *Conservation Restrictions: A Survey*, 8 CONN. L. REV. 383 (1976).
- Comment, *Criminal Law Enforcement Authority of Park Rangers in Proprietary Jurisdiction National Parks—Where Is It?*, 13 CAL. W. L. REV. 126 (1976).
- Comment, *Historic Preservation Cases: A Collection*, 12 WAKE FOREST L. REV. 227 (1976).
- Comment, *Historic Zoning: The Test for an Unconstitutional Taking*, 81 DICK. L. REV. 136 (1976).
- Note, *Constitutional Law: Preserving Native American Cultural and Archeological Artifacts*, 4 AM. INDIAN L. REV. 99 (1976).
- Note, *Preserving Utah's Prehistoric Past: A Proposal for Legislative Reform*, 1976 UTAH L. REV. 143 (1976).
- Symposium, *Historic Preservation Symposium*, 12 WAKE FOREST L. REV. 1 (1976).
- Symposium, *Perspectives in Historic Preservation*, 8 CONN. L. REV. 199 (1976).

1977

- Coggins & McCloskey, *New Directions for the National Park System: The Proposed Kansas Tallgrass Prairie National Park*, 25 KAN. L. REV. 477 (1977).
- Costonis, *The Disparity Issue: A Context for the Grand Central Terminal Decision*, 91 HARV. L. REV. 402 (1977).
- Holubowich, *New Laws Protect Landmarks*, 116 TR. & EST. 232 (1977).
- L'Heureux, *La Protection de l'Environnement Culturel Canadien et Québécois*, 23 MCGILL L.J. 306 (1977).
- McGarity, *The Courts, the Agencies, and NEPA Threshold Issues*, 55 TEX. L. REV. 801 (1977).
- Marcus, *Villard Preserv'd: Or, Zoning for Landmarks in the Central Business District*, 44 BROOKLYN L. REV. 1 (1977).
- Miller, *Recordation and Surface Management Regulations Affecting Mining in the National Forests, the National Park System, and on the Public Domain*, 23 ROCKY MTN. MIN. L. INST. 841 (1977).
- Richman & Kendig, *Transfer Development Rights—A Pragmatic View*, 9 URB. LAW. 571 (1977).
- Williams, *Subjectivity, Expression, and Privacy: Problems of Aesthetic Regulation*, 62 MINN. L. REV. 1 (1977).
- Comment, *A Challenge to Historic Preservation in Kentucky*, 65 KY. L.J. 895 (1977).

- Comment, *Cultural Ecology: The Urban Landmark as an Environmental Resource*, 11 U.S.F. L. REV. 720 (1977).
- Comment, *Historic Preservation and the Tax Reform Act of 1976*, 11 U.S.F. L. REV. 453 (1977).
- Comment, *Protecting Public Parkland From Indirect Federal Highway Intrusion*, 62 IOWA L. REV. 960 (1977).
- Comment, *State and Federal Tax Incentives for Historic Preservation*, 46 U. CIN. L. REV. 833 (1977).
- Note, *Environmental Law—Article I, Section 27 of the Pennsylvania Constitution—Acquisition of Public Parkland*, 16 DUQ. L. REV. 119 (1977).
- Note, *Land Use—Preservation of Urban Landmarks: An Innovative Approach. Penn Central Transportation Co. v. New York*, 42 N.Y.2d 324, 366 N.E.2d 1271 (1977), 57 B.U.L. REV. 931 (1977).
- Note, *Requiring Preservation and Maintenance of Historical District Is Within Zoning Power*, 28 MERCER L. REV. 591 (1977).
- Note, *Urban Park Preservation Through Transferable Development Rights: Fred F. French Investing Co. v. City of New York*, 90 HARV. L. REV. 637 (1977).

1978

- Conrad & Merriam, *Compensation in TDR Programs: Grand Central Terminal and the Search for the Holy Grail*, 56 U. DET. J. URB. L. 1 (1978).
- Hudson, *Sierra Club v. Department of Interior: The Fight to Preserve the Redwood National Park*, 7 ECOLOGY L.Q. 781 (1978).
- Marcus, *The Grand Slam Grand Central Terminal Decision: A Euclid for Landmarks, Favorable Notice for TDR and a Resolution of the Regulatory/Taking Impasse*, 7 ECOLOGY L.Q. 731 (1978).
- Merriam, *Making TDR Work*, 56 N.C.L. REV. 77 (1978).
- Murphy, *State and Local Tax Incentives for Urban Growth: A Concept Whose Time Never Was?*, 6 FORDHAM URB. L.J. 457 (1978).
- Trudgeon, *Tax Exempt Status for Historical Preservation Districts*, 49 OKLA. B.J. 269 (1978).
- Tucker & Shull, *Tax Advantages and Problems Connected with "Certified Historic Structures,"* 48 J. TAX. 40 (1978).

- Comment, *Alas in Wonderland: The Impact of Penn Central v. New York Upon Historic Preservation Law and Policy*, 7 B.C. ENV'T'L AFF. L. REV. 317 (1978).
- Comment, *The Clean Air Act Amendments of 1977 and the National Parklands*, 11 U. MICH. J.L. REF. 290 (1978).
- Comment, *Grand Central Terminal and the New York Court of Appeals: "Pure" Due Process, Reasonable Return, and Betterment Recovery*, 78 COLUM. L. REV. 134 (1978).
- Comment, *Historic Preservation and Transferable Development Rights*, 1978 U. ILL. L.F. 927 (1978).
- Comment, *Historic Preservation: Detroit's Ordinance Almost Puts It All Together*, 55 U. DET. J. URB. L. 807 (1978).
- Comment, *Jurisdiction To Zone Indian Reservations*, 53 WASH. L. REV. 677 (1978).
- Comment, *Penn Central Transportation Co. v. City of New York: Landmark Preservation Eludes the "Taking" Clause*, 14 NEW ENG. L. REV. 317 (1978).
- Comment, *Wilderness—Retrograde Progress*, 47 U. MO. KAN. CITY L. REV. 55 (1978).
- Note, *Constitutional Law—Land Use Control—Landmark Preservation*, 16 DUQ. L. REV. 813 (1978).
- Note, *Constitutional Law—Mandatory Subdivision Exactions for Park and Recreational Purposes*, 43 MO. L. REV. 582 (1978).
- Note, *Constitutional Law—The Taking Issue—Landmarks Preservation Law That Severely Restricts the Use of Individual Historic Structures Does Not Effect a Taking When There Remains a Reasonable Beneficial Use of a Property—Penn Central Transportation Co. v. City of New York*, 438 U.S. 104 (1978), 56 U. DET. J. URB. L. 141 (1978).
- Note, *Cultural Resources Preservation and Underwater Archeology: Some Notes on the Current Legal Framework and a Model Underwater Antiquities Statute*, 15 SAN DIEGO L. REV. 623 (1978).
- Note, *Encouraging Historic Preservation Through the Federal Tax System: The Tax Reform Act of 1976*, 4 COLUM. J. ENVIR. L. 221 (1978).
- Note, *Environmental Law—Eminent Domain—Police Power—Zoning—The Designation of an Individual Building as a Landmark is Not a Taking Requiring Just Compensation When The Designation Allows a Reasonable Use of the Property—Penn Central Transportation Co. v. City of New York*, 98 S. Ct. 2646 (1978), 47 U. CIN. L. REV. 654 (1978).
- Note, *Historic Preservation—An Individual's Perspective*, 67 KY. L.J. 1018 (1978).

- Note, *New Problems for Preservationists*, 15 HOUS. L. REV. 747 (1978).
- Note, *Penn Central Transportation Co. v. City of New York: Something for Everyone—Historic Landmark Preservation, A “Reasonable Return”, and Transferable Development Rights*, 5 OHIO N.L. REV. 719 (1978).
- Note, *Penn Central v. City of New York: A Landmark Landmark Case*, 6 FORDHAM URB. L.J. 667 (1978).
- Note, *Preservation of Historic Landmarks*, 92 HARV. L. REV. 222 (1978).

1979

- Karp, *Subdivision Exactions for Park and Open Space Needs*, 16 AM. BUS. L.J. 277 (1979).
- Martell, *Preservation and Use: Concessions in the National Parks*, 8 ECOLOGY L.Q. 1 (1979).
- Netherton, *Environmental Conservation and Historic Preservation Through Recorded Land Use Agreements*, 14 REAL PROP., PROB., & TR. J. 540 (1979).
- Nielsen, *Preservation of Maryland Farmlands: A Current Assessment*, 8 U. BALT. L. REV. 429 (1979).
- Roddewig & Young, *Neighborhood Revitalization and the Historic Preservation Incentives of the Tax Reform Act of 1976: Lessons from the Bottom Line of a Chicago Red Brick Three-Flat*, 11 URB. LAW. 35 (1979).
- Sackman, *Landmark Cases on Landmark Law*, 1979 PLAN., ZONING, & EMINENT DOM. INST. 105 (1979).
- Sax, *Fashioning a Recreation Policy for Our National Parklands: The Philosophy of Choice and the Choice of Philosophy*, 12 CREIGHTON L. REV. 973 (1979).
- Comment, *Allocating the Cost of Historic Preservation: Compensation for the Isolated Landmark Owner*, 74 NW. U.L. REV. 646 (1979).
- Comment, *Alternatives to Destruction: Two New Developments in Historic Preservation*, 19 SANTA CLARA L. REV. 719 (1979).
- Comment, *Farmland Preservation Techniques: Some Food for Thought*, 40 U. PITT. L. REV. 258 (1979).
- Comment, *Historic Preservation in Illinois*, 1979 So. ILL. U.L.J. 449.
- Comment, *Preserving Historic Landmarks in Texas: A Role for the Cities?*, 31 BAYLOR L. REV. 537 (1979).

- Comment, *Texas Historic Landmarks—Criteria for Designation*, 11 ST. MARY'S L.J. 176 (1979).
- Comment, *Transfer Development Rights: A Needed Addition to Historic Preservation in the District of Columbia*, 28 CATH. U.L. REV. 833 (1979).
- Note, *Constitutional Law—Fifth Amendment—Declaration of Property as a Historic Landmark under State Law is not a Taking which Requires Just Compensation where the Land Owner is Guaranteed a Reasonable Return on his Investment*, 24 VILL. L. REV. 610 (1979).
- Note, *Constitutional Law—Historic Preservation By Means of Landmark Designation: Penn Central Transportation Co. v. New York City*, 438 U.S. 104 (1978), 30 S.C.L. REV. 825 (1979).
- Note, *Constitutional Law: Land Use Regulations; You Don't Have to Take It or Leave It*, 31 U. FLA. L. REV. 429 (1979).
- Note, *From Zoning to Landmark Preservation: The Grand Central Terminal Decision Signals? A Shift in Land Use Regulation*, 25 N.Y.L.S. L. REV. 39 (1979).
- Note, *Historic Preservation—Transferable Development Rights as Mitigation Rather than Just Compensation—Penn Central Transportation Co. v. New York City*, 438 U.S. 104 (1978), 54 WASH. L. REV. 727 (1979).
- Note, *Historic Properties Gain Greater Protection Under New Interpretation of the National Historic Preservation Act: Watch v. Harris*, 12 CONN. L. REV. 156 (1979).
- Note, *Home Rule: Constitutionally Granted Planning and Zoning Power vs. State Concern for Preservation of the Adirondacks*, 16 URB. L. ANN. 389 (1979).
- Note, *Important Victory Won For Historic Preservation*, 19 NAT. RESOURCES J. 385 (1979).
- Note, *Landmark Preservation A Solution to the Problem?*, 41 U. PITT. L. REV. 111 (1979).
- Note, *Penn Central Transportation Co. v. City of New York*, 9 ENV'T'L L. 670 (1979).
- Note, *Penn Central Transportation Co. v. City of New York: Landmark Designation: Legitimate Preservation or Unconstitutional Taking?*, 25 LOY. L. REV. 205 (1979).
- Note, *Penn Central Transportation Co. v. City of New York: Landmark Preservation Laws and the Taking Issue*, 1979 DET. C.L. REV. 143 (1979).
- Note, *Penn Central v. City of New York: The Preservation of Landmarks—Or the Future of the Past is in the Future and in the Police Power*, 8 CAP. L. REV. 553 (1979).

- Note, *Police Power and Compensable Takings—A Landmark Decision Clarifies the Rules: Penn Central Co. v. City of New York*, 11 U. CIN. L. REV. 273 (1979).
- Note, *Property—Landmarks Preservation Laws*, 1979 ANN. SURVEY AM. L. 137 (1979).
- Note, *Zoning: Landmark Preservation*, 18 WASHBURN L.J. 404 (1979).

1980

- Beckwith, *Preservation Law 1976-1980: Faction, Property Rights, and Ideology*, 11 N.C. CENT. L.J. 276 (1980).
- Brace, *Comment: Urban Aesthetics and the Courts—A Review of Current Judicial Opinions on Community Appearance*, 12 URB. LAW. 151 (1980).
- Brink, *Experience of the Galveston Historical Foundation in Using Legal Tools to Support Historic Preservation*, 12 URB. LAW. 74 (1980).
- Dennis, *An Annotated List of Major Historic Preservation Court Decisions*, 11 N.C. CENT. L.J. 341 (1980).
- Dennis, *Annotated List of Major Historic Preservation Cases*, 12 URB. LAW. 87 (1980).
- Edmisten, *Marshaling Preservation Law Resources*, 12 URB. LAW. 42 (1980).
- Fowler, *Historic Preservation and the Law Today*, 12 URB. LAW. 3 (1980).
- Gilbert, *An Overview of the Law of Historic Preservation: Local Government and the Property Owner—Services, Standards, Controls and Incentives*, 12 URB. LAW. 13 (1980).
- Howard, *Revolving Funds: In the Vanguard of the Preservation Movement*, 11 N.C. CENT. L.J. 256 (1980).
- Hershman, *Critical Legal Issues in Historic Preservation*, 12 URB. LAW. 19 (1980).
- Kellogg, *Role of State and Local Laws and Programs in Historic Preservation*, 12 URB. LAW. 31 (1980).
- Morgan, *Reaffirmation of Local Initiative: North Carolina's 1979 Historic Preservation Legislation*, 11 N.C. CENT. L.J. 243 (1980).
- Netherton, *Restrictive Agreements for Historic Preservation*, 12 URB. LAW. 54 (1980).
- Oldham, *Federal Tax Provisions and the Federal Framework for Historic Preservation*, 12 URB. LAW. 66 (1980).

- Powers, *Tax Incentives for Historic Preservation: A Survey, Case Studies and Analysis*, 12 URB. LAW. 103 (1980).
- Sower & Morrison, *The Use of Legal Ordinances for Renovating Store Facades and Assessing Management Funds in Commercial Revitalization of Older Business Districts*, 12 URB. LAW. 134 (1980).
- Samuels, *After Penn Central: A Look Down the Track at Constitutional Taking*, 8 REAL EST. L.J. 230 (1980).
- Stipe, *Preservation Lawyers—Unite!*, 11 N.C. CENT. L.J. 208 (1980).
- Stipe, *Why Preserve?*, 11 N.C. CENT. L.J. 211 (1980).
- Stipe, *A Decade of Preservation and Preservation Law 1970-1980*, 11 N.C. CENT. L.J. 214 (1980).
- Ziegler, *Large-Scale Commercial Adaptive Use: Preservation Revitalizes Old Buildings—and New Ones Too!*, 11 N.C. CENT. L.J. 234 (1980).
- Bibliography, *Bibliography to Legal Periodicals Dealing with Historic Preservation and Aesthetic Regulation*, 11 N.C. CENT. L.J. 384 (1980).
- Comment, *The North Carolina Historic Preservation and Conservation Agreements Act: Assessment and Implications for Historic Preservation*, 11 N.C. CENT. L.J. 362 (1980).
- Symposium, *Historic Preservation Symposium*, 11 N.C. CENT. L.J. 195 (1980).
- Symposium, *Special Symposium: Preserving, Conserving, and Re-using Historic Properties*, 12 URB. LAW. 1 (1980).

